Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/784,917	TANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>120</u>		
(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Matthew E. Mulkeen {Reg. No. 44,250}.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 September 2006	Time: <u>11:45am</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 18 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Lau S. Stockton	<u> </u>	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner left a voice mail message for Mr. Mulkeen on Wednesday, September 13, 2006@11:45am requesting permission to: (1) cancel the text on page 61, lines 26-27 of the instant specification since a wrong line number was indicated in the after final amendment of September 6, 2006; and (2) put in the correct structure of the formula in claim 18 since the ring nitrogen is missing in the pyrrole ring. On Thursday, September 14, 2006@11:35am, Mr. Mulkeen called the Examiner to give her permission to make the change to the specification and the change to claim 18.

9/14/06